

**Greater Los Angeles County Integrated Regional Water Management Plan  
South Bay Steering Committee  
West Basin MWD  
Carson, CA**

**Tuesday October 7, 2008  
1:30 – 3:30 pm**

**Draft Meeting Notes**

**Present:**

Frank Kuo, LA County Flood Control District  
Leighanne Reeser, West Basin MWD  
Rich Nagel, West Basin MWD  
Marilyn Lyon, South Bay Environmental Services Center  
Patrick Arakawa, LA County Flood Control District  
Bob Siemak, Water Replenishment District  
Theresa Wu, Water Replenishment District

Mary Zauner, LA County Sanitation Districts  
Kathleen McGowan, Consultant  
Chris Sellers, LA County DBH  
Persephene St. Charles, RMC  
Shirley Birozik (phone), Regional Water Quality Control Board  
James Alamillo (phone), Heal the Bay  
Paul Herzog (phone), Interested Party

<b>Agenda Item</b>	<b>Topic/ Issue</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>Action Item/Follow Up</b>
<b>1</b>	Welcome, Introductions	Rich Nagel led introductions	
<b>2</b>	September Steering Committee Meeting Notes	Notes were approved as is.	
<b>3</b>	Overview of September Leadership Committee Discussion and Outcomes	<p>A hand-out was provided that summarized the LC meeting key highlights were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Consultant will defer updating IRWMP until October meeting.</li> <li>• Highlights Lite and DAC outreach plan will be distributed for comments.</li> <li>• A committee will be set up to review the potential of having an Executive Director role funded through the IRWMP or other alternatives available for continuing to provide administration services as LA County has done.</li> </ul> <p>Since the LC meeting Bill SB 2x1 was signed that dictates the funding allocation and rules for the IRWMP Program under Prop 84. Handouts were distributed that provided overviews of the SB 2x1 bill as it relates to IRWMP.</p>	<p>Supply any final comments on the Highlights Lite document to Persephene within One week (October 14).</p> <p>Rich Nagel will bring up the idea of the Region submitting a conservation project proposal for Prop. 84 grant funds.</p>

*The Mission of the Greater Los Angeles County IRWMP is to address the water resources needs of the Region in an integrated and collaborative manner.*

		<p>The group discussed that there is a special allocation highlighted for \$20M in conservation funding through the IRWMP. Rich proposed, that if the group agreed, that he would like to bring forth a recommendation at the next LC meeting that the IRWMP region put forth a grant application together for this conservation funding. If a separate application process will occur the group agreed that the region should pursue as a whole and asked Rich to discuss at next LC meeting. Either way the group felt that there need to be conservation projects identified and included in the project list for the subregion.</p> <p>Highlight Lite document was re-distributed for final review – all comments should be given to Persephene by October 14.</p>	
4	Funding Contribution Status	<p>A table was distributed summarizing contributions pledged and paid. Leighanne reported that things are on track.</p> <p>Frank elaborated on developing a potential scope for administration of the IRWMP and the potential for having an Executive Director for the group. This will be further addressed by the LC.</p> <p>Leighanne mentioned that the description of the South Bay Watersheds from the IRWM Plan was e-mailed out after the September meeting and is included on the reverse side of the funding contribution update. She didn't receive any comments via e-mail from the S.C. members.</p>	S.C. members to supply comments on the description of the South Bay to Leighanne.
5 (switched)	IRWMP update	<p>Persephene provided a recommendation to the group that the current Plan Update process be put on hold until further information is know about the implementation grant guidelines. This is as a result of language in SB 2x1 and other discussions that the State now has the option of using the Prop 50 guidelines for the implementation grant. These would only require that the plan be updated within 2 years if an implementation grant is awarded. By that time there could either be a planning grant award or no extra planning money and so conserving existing plan update funds would be prudent. The groups agreed with the recommendation to hold the plan update until a later time.</p>	
6	IRWMP Sub-regional planning needs	<p>The group reviewed a handout provided that summarized the planning needs identified at the September SC meeting. The handout also provided a schedule and process graphic depicting how the planning needs discussion would feed into the overall planning grant application development.</p> <p>The group discussed the process and felt that the consultant's Planning Needs Report should first document all of the planning needs related to meeting the potential guidelines for the Prop 84 Implementation grant. Then</p>	

		whatever planning dollars are left could be allocated to the needs identified by each subregion. The group added to and modified the list developed at the last meeting to include the following table.	
Planning Need		IRWM Plan Update Solution	
<b><u>Water Supply</u></b>			
Water supply reliability needs and cost figures for entire South Bay sub-region outside what West Basin has for its service area		Develop subregional Water Supply targets and roll up to revise regional target	
Water use efficiency program		Develop Regional WUE Program	
Regional recycled water optimization		Develop Regional RW Water Supply and Demand Database	
Model ordinance development for stormwater and gray water reuse - also conservation		Will aid cities in meeting targets outlined in plan	
<b><u>Water Quality</u></b>			
Better cost estimate for watershed water quality needs		Develop cost estimating process for watershed water quality programs – compare green solutions to estimates	
Water quality data for effectiveness monitoring		Regional water quality monitoring program	
Model ordinance development for Low Impact Design (LID)		Helping agencies with planning to meet targets as opposed to implementation	
Program on how to assist cities in meeting TMDL goal		Helping to find ways to meet targets and supplement project database	
<b><u>Habitat and Recreation Planning</u></b>			
Sediment Management Planning for regional water bodies		Determine where projects are needed to meet targets	
Identification of DAC recreation facilities that need greening improvements		RW facilities plan for DAC recreation areas	
<b><u>Flood Protection</u></b>			
Determine what will fix local flooding – if there is a common thread		DAC and Local agency flood planning program to identify flood control objectives for plan	
Plan to identify replacing existing infrastructure for multiple benefit projects		Will allow integrated solutions to flood control to be identified	
<b><u>Integrated Planning Needs</u></b>			
Culling existing plans for needs		Develop and maintain an inventory and database of existing plan and needs	
Integration of existing plans to identify needs for TMDL compliance		Develop sub-committee to look at database to determine potential planning integration opportunities	

Scenario Development		Develop regional reliability plan based on climate and socioeconomic potentials	
<b><u>Other Planning Needs</u></b>			
Stakeholder Outreach		Keep plan updated and progress monitored by continuing regular SC and LC meetings	
DAC Outreach		Implement year 2 of DAC outreach plan	
Implementation		Create and maintain database that quantifies accomplishments under each target	
IRWM Plan Update		5 year cycle with interim annual updates	
7	DAC Outreach	<p>The group was reminded that the interim DAC Outreach Work Plan was distributed prior to the meeting for review and comment. There were no comments to the plan by the group. Persephene recommended that the group move forward with DAC outreach in advance of the next SC meeting. The group agree with a proposal to contact the City Managers of cities in the sub-region with DAC's according to the existing definition of a DAC. It was reminded that the definition may change later – but the group felt it was important to move forward and then adapt if the definition changes.</p> <p>The DAC sub-committee to the SC will exchange email to assign SC members to each city Manager and request that contacts and discussions be had prior to the next meeting and that the sub-committee report back to the SC at the November meeting. The group will also discuss messages to those managers so as to provide a consistent message.</p>	<p>Persephene and Leighanne will coordinate the sub-committee outreach to DAC City Mangers and provide next steps and initial DAC contact list at next meeting.</p> <p>Any final comments on DAC Work Plan should be emailed to Persephene by October 14.</p> <p>Persephene will find the DAC map for SB and include for outreach.</p>
8	Recent and Upcoming Grant Opportunities	The grant database was not updated since the September meeting and so no new handout or changes were provided to the group.	Email Persephene with any additions or changes to the database.
8	Future agenda items, Items to report at Leadership Committee meeting, Other Items	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The LA IRWMP MOU has 11 of 16 signatures.</li> <li>• There is a new meeting trying to be scheduled with the Lower LA Subregion to discuss the future of the IRWMP efforts within the region.</li> </ul>	
9	Next Meetings	<p><b>Leadership Committee</b> October 22, 2008, 9:30 am to 12:00 pm LA County DPW</p> <p><b>South Bay Steering Committee</b> November 4, 2008, 1:30 pm to 3:30 pm West Basin MWD</p>	

